



Österreichischer Akkreditierungsrat

Consistency Input for discussion

**Vienna, June 2011
Elisabeth Fiorioli**

Consistency

in logic: no contradictions

In QA context a consistency proof can never be a mathematical proof:

???

$$(\exists x \phi \rightarrow \phi \frac{t}{x}) \in \Phi$$

???

$$T + \text{RFN}_T + \text{Th}_{\Pi_2^0}(\mathbb{N})$$

Dimension of consistency in the QA context



"OK, all those in favour of delegating decision-making, shrug your shoulders."

The Application Document



clear checklist

precise, understandable standards avoiding rethoric phrases

The Expert Panel



experts are aware of their role
code of good practice for site visits

panel secretary familiar with the procedure and legal issues

clear guidelines for writing the report
underpin findings with evidence

The Decision Making

board members have access to full documentation

meeting agenda is not overloaded (quantity matters!)

a rapporteur /panel secretary is responsible for summary and decision proposal



The Decision Making

decision making should

follow the stipulated criteria

take into account the expert report and the statement of the applicant

take into account former decisions



**Equals should be treated equally and unequals unequally
(Ἀριστοτέλης)**

